

HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 291 of 2004 (SS)

1. Surendra Singh Rawat s/o Sri Hayat Singh
House No. 356 Jain Gali, Khanjarpur, Roorkee
2. Shyam Singh Rawat s/o Sri Govind Singh Rawat R/o
104 Ashok Nagar, Shivji Colony, Milap Nagar, Roorkee
3. Narbahadur s/o Sri Beerbahadur, Ashjok Nagar, Shivji
Colony, Milap Nagar, Roorkee
4. Vijay Singh Rawat s/o Sri Jodh Singh r/o Ashok Nagar,
Shivaji Colony, Milap Nagar, Roorkee
5. Dhan Singh Bisht s/o Sri Ram Siongh Bisht r/o
Subhash Nagar Roorkee, District Haridwar

..... Petitioner

versus

1. Director Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee
2. Controller of Administration, Central Building
Research Institute, Roorkee, District Haridwar

..... Respondents

Writ Petition No. 390 of 2004 (SS)

1. P.B. Pun s/o Sri Thaman Pun R/o Durga Colony,
Gurga Line Roorkee
2. Ravindra Singh Rawat s/o Sri sadho Singh Rawat R/o
Subhash Nagar, Roorkee
3. Stey Singh Kandari s/o Sri Prem Singh Kandari, R/o
6/123, Shastri Nagar Paniyala Road, Roorkee
4. Jaman Singh s/o Sri Narayan Singh, Shivaji colony,
Post office Mailap Nagar, District Haridwar
5. Anil Kumar s/o Sri Lalita Prasad, Ganeshpur, Roorkee,
District Haridwar

Petitioners

Versus

1. Director Central Building Research Institute, Roorkee

2. Controller of Administration, Central Building Research
Institute, Roorkee, District Haridwar..... Respondents

Sri Lok Pal Singh, Advocate for the petitioners
A.S.G. for the respondents.

Dated: 6.3.2006

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Sri Lok Pal Singh counsel for the petitioners and
A.S.G. for the respondents.

By the present writ petitions, the petitioners have prayed
for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents not to remove
the petitioners from the work and post of the guards until
appointment of regular incumbents.

According to the petitioners they got employment in
C.B.R.I. Roorkee through Ex-Soldiers Corporation, Dehradun
from 1.1.96 and from 21.4.1999 they are in the direct
employment of C.B.R.I. Roorkee and they are getting a
consolidated salary of Rs. 2272/-.

The grievance of the petitioners is that the authorities of
C.B.R.I. Roorkee are going to employ 17 security persons
through contractor while the petitioners are in direct
employment of the department and they cannot be replaced by
contract labourers.

At the time of arguments the counsel for the petitioners
confined his prayer for disposal of the representation of the
petitioners dated 9.3.2004.

In view of above, respondents are directed to dispose of
the representation of the petitioners within a month after
obtaining the certified copy of this order.

Accordingly, writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

Dated: 6.3.2006

Rajesh Tandon, J.

*Dhyani